

(Continued from Page 1)

the grass roots of the problem which it is allegedly designed to solve. The problem of real estate stagnation and decline is one of economics. And this has been demonstrated in many communities which were suffering from real estate and losses during the 1950s but who, in the 1960s, through the investment of private capital, had completely revitalized these areas. This is most manifest in the City of New Orleans where skyscrapers are being erected almost at the rate of one a year in the business district.

To the argument that low rent housing via eminent domain and urban renewal, will eliminate slums, those people who live in the cities which have enjoyed this dubious benefit know that all public housing does is perpetuate the slums in brick, concrete and steel.

The public is awakening to the truth however, as is manifest in the article which appeared in the Reader's Digest of April, 1965; "Myths of Urban Renewal," "The Federal Bull Dozer," by Dr. Martin Anderson, Assistant Professor of Columbia University Graduate School of Business and a Fellow of the Joint Center for Urban Studies of MIT and Harvard.

In this article, Dr. Anderson explains the hopelessness of trying to revitalize, renew, or rehabilitate neighborhoods or commercial areas through the scheme of urban renewal via eminent domain. Dr. Anderson categorically points out that each one of the reasons postulated in support of urban renewal have failed in actual application in the cities where they have been adopted.

The public is being awakened however and cities like Bloomington, Minnesota, Pomona, California, Spokane, Washington, Midland, Texas, Reno, Nevada, Anaheim, California, Jackson, Mississippi, Orlando, Florida, all have refused to participate in this scheme and have manifested their disenchantment with it.

Recently the Cities of Provo and Salt Lake City, Utah rejected urban renewal by landslide votes.

But what happens to the people? What happens to the working class people who are shuffled around in these inhuman experiments? A book recently published deals with the human side of the problem.

Bryton and Ella Barron have just published "The Inhumanity of Urban Renewal" published by Crestwood Books of Springfield, Virginia, in which they deal with the human tragedy that results from this unholy combination of do gooders and cynical, crass, real estate promoters who are looking for the easy buck.

Let us take our inspiration from the pilgrims and have a day of thanksgiving for all of the blessings that we enjoy in this Country. Let us particularly give thanks that we, in Louisiana, have successfully withstood the ravages of the advocates of urban renewal. But like the pilgrims, let us not put down our muskets and rest on our laurels; the fight is still on. With the prospect of hundreds of thousands and even millions of dollars of profits to be made, these advocates of urban renewal will not rest but will make onslaught after onslaught.

Let's turn our backs on Washington, solve our own problems and give thanks to God that we are able to do so.

POINT 1 — URBAN RENEWAL is INHERENTLY UNJUST because it EXPROPRIATES PROPERTY by PUBLIC AUTHORITY and RESELLS it for exploitation to other PRIVATE INTERESTS for specious benefits to the public, ERRONEOUSLY IDENTIFIED with the common good, for the alleged progress and re-vitalization. It fails to give JUST COMPENSATION for EXPROPRIATED PROPERTY.

POINT 2 — URBAN RENEWAL is ADDITIONALLY UNJUST, when it fails to give JUST COMPENSATION for such EXPROPRIATED PROPERTY and gives NO COMPENSATION, or VERY LITTLE for business losses incurred thereby, and even putting SELF SUPPORTING people on the PRIVATE CHARITY or PUBLIC WEL-

FARE LISTS, at GREAT INCREASE of TAXES, shared also by the DISPOSSESSED.

POINT 3 — THE STATE, without further ado, has INHERENT POWER of EMINENT DOMAIN, and may, with JUST COMPENSATION EXPROPRIATE OWNERSHIP, USE or MANAGEMENT of PRIVATE PROPERTY, for the COMMON GOOD or GOVERNMENTAL PURPOSE, not to be IDENTIFIED with MERE PUBLIC BENEFITS for any ACCUMULATION of PRIVATE INTEREST. The LEGISLATURE or CONGRESS has NO AUTHORITY to SUBTRACT or ADD to EMINENT DOMAIN.

POINT 4 — To try to LEGALIZE URBAN RENEWAL via EMINENT DOMAIN is ATTEMPTED THIEVERY, and when LEGALIZED it is LEGALIZED THIEVERY and LEGALIZED ROBBERY, and SOME MEN, CITY PLANNERS and COHORTS should HANG THEIR HEADS IN SHAME down through ETERNITY, because CONFISCATION of PRIVATE PROPERTY for PRIVATE ENTERPRISE is a VIOLATION of the natural law of HONESTY, no matter how much it is LEGALIZED, no matter how great the COMPENSATION.

POINT 5 — POINT OF LAW — How is LEGAL PLUNDER to be identified? Quite simple. See if the LAW takes from persons what belongs to them, and gives it to other persons, to whom it DOES NOT belong. Again, **POINT OF LAW — See if the LAW BENEFITS one Citizen at the expense of another, by doing what the Citizen himself CANNOT DO WITHOUT COMMITTING A CRIME.**

"INSURGENCY"

By EDITH KERMIT ROOSEVELT

America's youth is being offered a new profession to look forward to—a lifetime training in insurgency. Given the professional label of insurgency, it is the American version of Trotsky's "permanent revolution."

The career of the typical insurgent is begun in his college days when a Marxist professor singles him out as a potential leader. He then is enabled to take a year off or even several years working and training with radical leftwing groups. His living expenses are met. In the forefront are the Students for a Democratic Society and the Students Non-Violent Coordinating Committee.

By the time he is in his late 20's or early 30's, the embryo insurgent is in a position to put into practice on college campuses what he has been taught in these specialized training schools. During the riots at the University of California at Berkeley, the public was given its first demonstration of our growing insurgent force of students. Many among them are not students at all. In our leftwing jargon, they are called "non-students." Abroad, they have the name of "professional students." They are assigned by the Communists to colleges and universities in Southeast Asia. They are appointed to attend a specific class and their job is to stay in it, never advancing. For this, they have the co-operation of Reds and opportunists on the faculties. Many of those so-called "youths" are middle-aged.

These insurgents have very proficient teachers for this new profession. A number of the students are the sons and daughters of Communist and Socialist revolutionaries, who have been taught these things with their milk. Some among them systematically go from campus to campus setting up pro-Soviet or pro-Red Chinese groups.

Both the liberals and the conservatives are failing to face up to the fact that these student revolts, conducted with such professionalism, are part of a worldwide operation. An insurgent intellectual at Harvard or at the University of Michigan is as much of a soldier in the Communist world army as a Viet Cong guerrilla. Both operations are part of the Communist technique of "protracted conflict."

They have learned to operate their own

communications systems. They conduct their own efficient radio mechanism so that leaders can keep in touch with cadres at all times and swiftly give orders. They write and distribute directives and propaganda. They circulate word-of-mouth slogans—"agit prop." They extract "contributions" and "taxes" from pliable groups in the community that "don't want any trouble." They conduct a highly sophisticated psychological warfare operation that always puts the "enemy," the police and the college administration, in the wrong. They know how to stage a lie-in and exactly how to go limp when arrested, confident that their "police brutality" campaign guarantees them soft treatment. Among their recruitment methods are the use of sex and pornography.

The third step in the career of an insurgent comes when he is in his late 30's and early 40's. Then he can count on a number of respectable cover jobs made available to him either teaching at a university, with a tax-exempt foundation or in the Federal bureaucracy. In applying for government jobs, he well knows that the attorney general's list of Communist fronts is out of date, and none of the new subversive groups have been added.

On retirement, an insurgent can devote full time to teaching insurgency in what are known as "Institute-work-shops." This network of schools, specializing in so-called "creative disorder" has been set up all over the country by groups professing an interest in civil rights, peace or poverty. Some of the training schools are operated by Turn Towards Peace (TTP), a group believing in "unilateral initiatives" in disarmament just by the United States. It has organized militant student peace marches and similar operations. A TTP letter published in the May 1965 issue of the Progressive magazine frankly outlines a year's "intern program" which combines practical work in the communities with political theory and study:

"Work assignments include: administration of a volunteer office; development of competence in three areas of work; experience working in a community. Study includes a rigorous seventeen session seminar; preparation of an original paper in some area of policy formulations; analysis of social change techniques; evaluation of the history of an American peace organization."

What about the so-called "non-violent" techniques taught at typical schools of insurgency? These techniques include the art of staging economic boycotts, school boycotts, rent strikes, mass demonstrations, tying up telephone lines, road blocks and other means of "social disruption." Every legitimate or fancied grievance is exploited and kept from being solved.

Inconceivable as it sounds, all this is happening in the United States.



JAMES BALDWIN — Ardent advocate of CIVIL RIGHTS REVOLUTION, contemptuous of White and Christian morals his writings playing up sex and immorality have been condemned by reviewers throughout the nation. His filthy books have had a baneful influence on our youth and have greatly advanced the cause of insurgency.